

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/053,631	01/22/2002	Kunihiko Tanaka	02001/LH	3624		
1933 75	1933 7590 12/30/2003			EXAMINER		
	HOLTZ, GOODMAN &	AMINZAY, S	AMINZAY, SHAIMA Q			
767 THIRD AV 25TH FLOOR	/ENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
NEW YORK, NY 10017-2023			2674	G		
		•	DATE MAILED: 12/30/2003	)3		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/053,€	331	TANAKA, KUNIHIKO				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		l l	Q. Aminzay	2674				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	s			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start reply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no e reply within the sta riod will apply and valute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply be atutory minimum of thirty (30) d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro plication to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this commun	nication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a) <u></u> ☐	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice under				rits is			
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7)[	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b	) ☐ objected to by the	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is requi	red if the drawing(s) is o	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	ce Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore X All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents		•	(a)-(d) or (f).				
* 6	Certified copies of the priority docume     Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur	riority docum eau (PCT Ru	ents have been recei le 17.2(a)).	ved in this National Stag	je			
13)∏ <i>A</i> s 3	See the attached detailed Office action for a lacknowledgment is made of a claim for domeince a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78.	estic priority u first sentenc	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 e of the specification	e(e) (to a provisional app or in an Application Data				
14) 🗌 🛭	)  The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome eference was included in the first sentence o	estic priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	20 and/or 121 since a sp				
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(			ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/053,631

Art Unit: 2674

## **Detailed Action**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
   Montague U. S. Patent 6,415,555 B1 (hereinafter '555), in view of Tanaka U. S.
   Patent 6,145,628 B1 (hereinafter '628).
- 3. Regarding claim 1, Montague ('555) discloses a food and drink ordering system in an eating and drinking place such as restaurant or a food court area (see column1, lines 6-9 and lines 20-26; column 2, lines 40-50, and see the Abstract), comprises: order input means "touch sensitive display screen" (column 2, lines 66-67, column 3, lines 1-67, and column 3, lines 1-7) for inputting information, order display means (column 3, lines 1-5) and control unit having menu display function of displaying food and drink information (see figures 4-6, column 3, lines 1-67, and column 4), the order display menu "consists of a touch screen allowing the consumer to move through a series of menus and sub-menus" to select food or drink, and "a real-time list of the ordered items is displayed at all times" (see column 3, lines 37-38). Further, Montague ('555) discloses that when the customer has completed his order, the order is transmitted electronically to the

Application/Control Number: 10/053,631

Art Unit: 2674

order production facility such as kitchen or where food and drink is prepared (column 4, lines 46-57).

Montague ('555) does not disclose that the food or drink video images are being displayed on the image display means, and the customer order food and drink from the customer's table.

However, it is standard and well known in the art that the food and drink video images can be displayed on any given display including touchscreens, it is common in fast-food restaurants or a like food service places to display food or animated images on the screen (or touchscreen) to attract customer and make food selection easier.

Tanaka ('628) discloses a food and drink conveying system, comprising: a U-shaped setting connecting customer's table or counter to the kitchen or where food and drink is being prepared (for example see, Figure 1 or 7, column 1, lines 42-52, 61-64, and column 2, lines 51-25) as it is shown in figure 7 (or figure 1), the counter 2b, table 2a is connected through the housing 4a to the kitchen 3 and dinning area 1; the designated input from the table by the customer can be recognized in the kitchen so the customer order can be delivered to the correct table (see column 1, lines 61-64).

Thus it is obvious to one in the ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to modify the food and drink ordering system of Montague ('555) to include the food and drink conveying system of Tanaka ('628) to provide customer with a

Application/Control Number: 10/053,631

Art Unit: 2674

convenient food and drink ordering system (see column 1, lines 35-52, and 61-64).

- 4. Regarding claim 2, Montague ('555) and Tanaka ('628) teaches the claim elements discussed in claim 1 above and, further Montague ('555) teaches that the settlement of the charges for the customer's meal is being made by the system (column 3, lines 36-48) and when the customer completes his/her order the payment can be made by credit or debit card and the food and drink ordering system is equipped with the bar-code reader or card reader (see column 1, lines 46-53; column 4, lines 32-34, 36-39).
- 5. Regarding claim 3, Montague ('555) and Tanaka ('628) teaches the claim elements discussed in claim 1 above and, further Tanaka ('628) teaches foods and drinks carrying means, as in column 1 lines 35-40, "food and drink conveying system which enables a food or drink ordered, served to and conveyed through a conveying path to surely be received by the ordered" (customer), the food or drink order is being received from the kitchen or food and drink supplying place to the customer's table (see for example Figure 1 and 7; Figure 12, items 701-702, 704-705, 707-708; column 1, lines 35-64; column 2, lines 51-67; column 3, lines 1-24)

Regarding claim 4, Montague ('555) and Tanaka ('628) teaches the claim elements discussed in claim 1, 2 above and, further Tanaka ('628) teaches in claim 3 above that the food or drink order is being received from the kitchen or food and drink supplying place to the customer's table.

Art Unit: 2674

## Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure
- 2. Lai (U.S. Pat. 6,179,088 B1) Interlinked watercourses for sushi boats
- 3. Yamada (U.S Pat. 4,349,086) Circulating food display system
- 4. Matthew Senn (U. S. Pat. 2002/0196204 A1) Retail customer and product purchase divider with interactive retail transaction function.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shaima Q. Aminzay whose telephone number is 703-305-8723. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00-5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOSEPH MANCUSO can be reached on 703-305-3885. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 5